IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA CHARLESTON DIVISION

| Terry Edward McCall, |) C.A. No. 2:12-946-TLW-BHH |
|---|-----------------------------|
| Petitioner, |)) |
| vs. | ORDER |
| Jail Administrator of Greenville County Detention Center |))) |
| Respondent. |))) |

The *pro se* petitioner seeks *habeas corpus* relief under Title 28, United States Code, Section 2254. (Doc. # 1). This matter is now before the undersigned for review of the Report and Recommendation ("the Report") filed by United States Magistrate Judge Bruce Howe Hendricks, to whom this case had previously been assigned pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b) and Local Rule 73.02(B)(2) (D.S.C.). In her Report, Magistrate Judge Hendricks recommends that this 28 U.S.C. § 2254 Petition be dismissed *without prejudice and without requiring Respondent to file an Answer or return.* (Doc. # 13). No objections to the Report have been filed.

This Court is charged with conducting a <u>de novo</u> review of any portion of the Magistrate Judge's Report to which a specific objection is registered, and may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the recommendations contained in that report. 28 U.S.C. § 636. No objections have been filed to the Report. In the absence of objections to the Report and Recommendation of the Magistrate Judge, this Court is not required to give any explanation for adopting the recommendation. <u>See Camby v. Davis</u>, 718 F.2d 198, 199 (4th Cir. 1983).

A review of the record indicates that the Report accurately summarizes this case and the

applicable law. For the reasons articulated by the Magistrate Judge, it is hereby **ORDERED** that

the Magistrate Judge's Report is ACCEPTED (Doc. # 13) and this 28 U.S.C. § 2254 Petition is

DISMISSED without prejudice and without requiring Respondent to file an Answer or return.

The Court has reviewed this petition in accordance with Rule 11 of the Rules Governing

Section 2254 Proceedings. The Court concludes that it is not appropriate to issue a certificate of

appealability as to the issues raised herein. Petitioner is advised that he may seek a certificate from

the Fourth Circuit Court of Appeals under Rule 22 of the Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/ Terry L. Wooten

TERRY L. WOOTEN
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

June 7, 2012 Florence, South Carolina

2